vacuo to give a brown oil. Purification by flash column chromatography through silica gel afforded 9.0 g ( $49 \%$ yield) of the desired aldehyde: mp $57-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
3-[[3-(2-Quinolinylmethoxy)phenyl]ethenyl]benzonitrile (10a). To a suspension of 8.18 g ( 17.85 mmol ) of (3-cyanobenzyl)triphenylphosphonium bromide in 100 mL of DMF at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was added $0.67 \mathrm{~g}(22.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv) of $80 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ in oil dispersion. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min followed by 1 h at room temperature. The reaction was recooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $4.7 \mathrm{~g}(17.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ of aldehyde 9 in 20 mL of DMF was added dropwise over a period of 15 min . After addition, the reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then poured into ice water. The precipitate that formed was filtered off, redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried, concentrated in vacuo, and then purified by flash column chromatography through silica gel to afford $4.73 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$ of the nitrile: $\mathrm{mp} 115-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

5-[[3-[3-(2-Quinolinylmethoxy)phenyl]ethenyl]phenyl]$1 H$-tetrazole (10). A solution of $1 \mathrm{~g}(2.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ of nitrile 10 a , 0.90 g ( $13.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, and 1.59 g ( 13.80 mmol , 5 equiv) of pyridine hydrochloride in 15 mL of DMF was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The reaction mixture was poured into ice water and allowed to stand for 48 h . The precipitate that formed was filtered off and recrystallized from hot MeOH to afford 0.5 g ( $45 \%$ yield) of the desired tetrazole in the form of a beige, crystalline solid: mp $117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

5-[3-[2-[3-(2-Quinolinylmethoxy)phenyl]ethyl]phenyl]$1 \boldsymbol{H}$-tetrazole (39). (a) 3-[2-[3-(2-Quinolinylmethoxy)phenyllethyl]benzonitrile (39a). A mixture of $2.0 \mathrm{~g}(5.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ of olefin 10 a and 0.2 g of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mL of EtOH was hydrogenated at 30 psi for 4 h . The mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash column chromatography through silica gel gave a clear oil. Crystallization from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /hexane afforded $1.1 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$ of the desired nitrile in the form of a white, crystalline solid: mp $49-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
(b) Compound 39. To a solution of $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(2.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ of nitrile 39a in 20 mL of DMF was added 0.95 g ( $14.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ and 0.78 g ( $14.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The mixture
was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , then poured into ice water. The resulting precipitate was filtered off, recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and finally recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford $0.60 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$ of the desired tetrazole in the form of a white, crystalline solid: mp $126-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Biological Assays. All biological assays are described in the first paper of this series. ${ }^{1}$
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#### Abstract

A series of cyprodime-related compounds (2,4-12, and 26) has been synthesized and evaluated for opioid agonist and antagonist activity with the mouse vas deferens and guinea pig ileum preparations. None of the changes to cyprodime, including the introduction of a 3-0Me group, increasing and decreasing the size of or completely removing the substituent in position 4 , replacing the $N$-cyclopropylmethyl group with an $N$-allyl group, or replacing the $14-\mathrm{OMe}$ with a 14-OEt substituent, resulted in an improved $\mu$ antagonist profile and most were detrimental either in terms of $\mu$ selectivity and potency or increased agonist activity. Increasing the length of the substituent in position 4 resulted in a compound (6a) with a very similar profile to that of cyprodime.


Cyprodime (1, Chart I) was found to be a pure opioid antagonist with high selectivity for $\mu$ receptors. ${ }^{1,2}$ Since cyprodime has the highest $\mu$ selectivity of nonpeptide, competitive $\mu$ opioid antagonists reported, this ligand is of interest as a pharmacological tool in opioid research. In an attempt to enhance the $\mu$ potency and/or $\mu$ selectivity of cyprodime while retaining its antagonist purity and in order to further elaborate on structure-activity relation-

[^0]ships of 14 -alkoxymorphinans, we prepared the $N$-allyl analogue of cyprodime (compound 2), its 4-hydroxy analogue 4 (a possible metabolite of cyprodime), aromatic unsubstituted derivative 5 , its 4 -isopropyloxy analogue 6 , its 4 -n-butoxy analogue 6a, 3,4,14-trimethoxy derivatives
(1) Part 2 of this series: Schmidhammer, H.; Burkard, W. P.; Eggstein-Aeppli, L.; Smith, C. F. C. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 418.
(2) H. Schmidhammer In Trends in Medicinal Chemistry '88; van der Goot, H., Domany, G., Pallos, L., Timmerman, H., Eds.; Elsevier Science Publishers B. V.; Amsterdam, 1989.

## Chart I



```
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\) (cyprodime)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}\)
\(R^{1}=R^{3}=H, R^{2}=R^{4}=O C H_{3}\)
\(R^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OH}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\)
\(R^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\)
\(R^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OH}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CHClCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(R^{1}=R^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\)
\(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\)
\(R^{1}=H, R^{2}=R^{3}=R^{4}=O C H_{3}\)
```

7 and 8, 14-ethoxy derivatives 9-12, and 4,5-epoxy derivative 26.

## Chemistry

Compound 2, the $N$-allyl analogue of cyprodime (1), was prepared from $N$-normorphinan 3 by an analogous procedure as described for cyprodime. ${ }^{1}$

Phenol 4, a possible metabolite of cyprodime, and aromatic unsubstituted morphinan 5 were prepared by starting from epoxymorphinanone 23 , which was synthesized by a different and more efficient route as described in a previous publication. ${ }^{3}$ Instead of starting from oxymorphone, as described in the previous paper, ${ }^{3}$ we went out from 14-O-methylcodeinone (20). ${ }^{4}$ Ether cleavage of 20 with $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ afforded phenol 21. In order to remove the phenolic hydroxyl group, compound 21 was treated with 5 -chloro-1-phenyl-1 H -tetrazole ${ }^{5}$ in DMF in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to give phenyltetrazolyl ether 22, which was hydrogenated in AcOH over $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ to afford compound 23. $N$-Demethylation was accomplished with $2,2,2$-trichloroethyl chloroformate. ${ }^{6}$ Carbamate 24 was cleaved with Zn in diluted AcOH to give $N$-normorphinan 25, which was alkylated with cyclopropylmethyl chloride to afford 26. Reductive cleavage of the 4,5 -oxygen bridge was achieved with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}^{1,8}$ in refluxing MeOH to yield 4. This phenol (4) could be O-methylated with phenyltrimethylammonium chloride in DMF in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to give cyprodime (1) by a different route as described in a previous paper. ${ }^{1}$ Removal of the hydroxyl group of 4 was accomplished via phenyltetrazolyl ether 27, which was hydrogenated over $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in AcOH to give aro-
(3) Schmidhammer, H.; Aeppli, L.; Atwell, L.; Fritsch, F.; Jacobson, A. E.; Nebuchla, M.; Sperk, G. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 1575.
(4) Kobylecki, R. J.; Carling, R. W.; Lord, J. A. H.; Smith, C. F. C.; Lane, A. C. J. Med. Chem. 1982, 25, 116.
(5) Musliner, W. J.; Gates, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 4271.
(6) Montzka, T. A.; Matiskella, J. D.; Partyka, R. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 14, 1325.
(7) Schöpf, C.; Pfeifer, T. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1930, 483, 157.
(8) Beyerman, H. C.; van Bommel, L.; Maat, L.; Olieman, C. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1976, 95, 189.

Scheme $\mathbf{I}^{\text {a }}$



20: $R^{1}=R^{2}=O C H_{3}$
21: $R^{1}=O C_{3}, R^{2}=O H$
22: $R^{1}=O C_{3}, R^{2}=P T O$
28: $R^{1}=O C_{2} H_{5}, R^{2}=O C H_{3}$
29: $R^{1}=O C_{2} H_{5} R^{2}=O H$
30: $R^{1}=O C_{2} H_{5}, R^{2}=P T O$
23: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
24: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
25: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
26: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$, $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$

31: $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$


4: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
27: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{PTO}$
5: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$
32: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
33: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
34: $\mathbf{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CHClCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
35: $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
9: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
10: $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=0 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
${ }^{a} \mathrm{PTO}=$ phenyltetrazolyloxy.
Scheme II

matic unsubstituted morphinanone 5 (Scheme I).
The isopropyloxy analogue of cyprodime (compound 6) was synthesized from phenol 4 by alkylation with isopropyl bromide in DMF in the presence of NaH .

Compound 6a, the 4 -n-butoxy analogue of cyprodime, was prepared by starting from 4-hydroxymorphinan 13. 4-O-Alkylation with butyl bromide in DMF using NaH as base gave 14, which was N-demethylated via carbamate 15 to yield $N$-nor derivative 16. Alkylation with cyclopropylmethyl chloride in DMF afforded 6a.
The $3,4,14$-trimethoxy derivatives 7 and 8 were synthesized starting from $N$-methyl-3,4,14-trimethoxy-morphinan-6-one (17). ${ }^{3} \quad N$-Demethylation of 17 using 2,2,2-trichloroethyl chloroformate gave carbamate 18, which was cleaved reductively with $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ in refluxing MeOH . N-Normorphinan 19 was alkylated with either cyclopropylmethyl chloride or allyl bromide in DMF in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to yield 7 and 8 , respectively.
14-Ethoxy derivatives 9-12 were synthesized with 14 ethoxycodeinone (28) ${ }^{4}$ as starting material. To obtain the 4 -monomethoxy derivatives 9 and 10 , first ether cleavage with $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ was accomplished to afford phenol 29 . The

Table I. Opioid Antagonist Activities of Cyprodime and Its Analogues in the MVD

| compd | $K_{e}{ }^{\text {a }}, \mathrm{nM} \pm$ SEM |  |  | selectivityratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{NM}^{6}(\mu)$ | $\mathrm{EKC}^{\text {c }}$ (к) | DADLE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ( $\delta$ ) | к/ $\mu$ | $\delta / \mu$ |
| 2 | $230 \pm 7.3$ | $6041 \pm 118$ | $6451 \pm 880$ | 26 | 28 |
| 6 | $108 \pm 20$ | $2413 \pm 70$ | $11300 \pm 950$ | 22 | 105 |
| 6a | $21 \pm 2.7$ | $811 \pm 238$ | $2050 \pm 730$ | 39 | 98 |
| 7 | $120 \pm 11$ | $2097 \pm 190$ | $744 \pm 20$ | 17 | 6 |
| 8 | $118 \pm 15$ | $3900 \pm 1800$ | $179 \pm 18$ | 33 | 1.5 |
| 9 | $21.7 \pm 2.7$ | $1132 \pm 292$ | $2127 \pm 366$ | 52 | 98 |
| 10 | $81 \pm 17$ | $2562 \pm 830$ | $3742 \pm 1060$ | 32 | 46 |
| 11 | $46 \pm 9$ | $5300 \pm 2200$ | $1537 \pm 19$ | 115 | 33 |
| 12 | $184 \pm 48$ | $6194 \pm 440$ | $665 \pm 88$ | 34 | 3.6 |
| 26 | $168 \pm 24$ | $648 \pm 15$ | $4026 \pm 136$ | 3.9 | 24 |
| cyprodime | $55.4 \pm 4$ | $1551 \pm 448$ | $6108 \pm 205$ | 28 | 110 |
| naloxone | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ | $15.9 \pm 6.7$ | $9.6 \pm 2.3$ | 12 | 7 |

${ }^{{ }^{a}} K_{\mathrm{e}}=$ [antagonist] $/ \mathrm{DR}-1$, where DR is dose ratio (i.e. ratio of equiactive concentrations of the test agonist in the presence and absence of the antagonist. The present $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ values were obtained with concentrations of the antagonists which produced a dose ratio of between 3 and 10 against the relevant agonist. A minimum of two determinations was made for each $K_{\text {e }}$ value. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{NM}=$ normorphine. ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{EKC}=$ ethylketocyclazocine. ${ }^{d}$ DADLE $=\left[\mathrm{D}-A l{ }^{2}\right.$, D-Leu ${ }^{5}$ ]enkephalin.

3-OH group was removed via tetrazolyl ether 30. Reductive opening of the 4,5 -oxygen bridge of 31 gave phenol 32 , which was first 4 -O-methylated with phenyltrimethylammonium chloride to afford compound 33, which was $N$-demethylated with 1 -chloroethyl chloroformate. ${ }^{9}$ Carbamate 34 was refluxed in MeOH to yield 35 . $N$-Nor derivative 35 was alkylated with cyclopropylmethyl chloride (to yield 9) and allyl bromide (to give 10) (Scheme I).

For the synthesis of 3,4 -dimethoxy derivatives 11 and 12, 14-ethoxycodeinone (28) was first hydrogenated, following the published procedure, ${ }^{4}$ to give 14-O-ethyloxycodone (36). The following reaction sequence (see Scheme II) was analogous to the sequence described above for the synthesis of compounds 9 and 10.

## Pharmacology

The cyprodime analogues were evaluated for opioid agonist and antagonist activity in the mouse vas deferens preparation (MVD). ${ }^{1}$ Antagonist potencies at the three opioid receptor subtypes were determined against normorphine (NM; $\mu$-selective agonist), ethylketocyclazocine (EKC, $\kappa$-selective agonist), and [D-Ala ${ }^{2}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Leu}^{5}$ ]enkephalin (DADLE, a mixed $\mu / \delta$ agonist which is very $\delta$ selective in the MVD due to the high $\delta$-receptor reserve in this preparation). All compounds were also tested for agonist activity in the guinea pig isolated ileum preparation (GPI), ${ }^{1}$ a preparation particularly sensitive to $\kappa$ agonists.

Agonist effects were designated as being due to predominantly $\mu-, \kappa$-, or $\delta$-receptor interactions on the basis of antagonism of the effect by the $\kappa$-selective antagonist norbinaltorphimine ( 3 nM ), ${ }^{10}$ the $\mu$-selective antagonist cyprodime ( 1000 nM ), ${ }^{1}$ or the $\delta$-selective antagonist naltrindole ( 10 nM ). ${ }^{11}$

All compounds were tested up to a concentration of 50 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. In the GPI, compounds which produced no inhibition of twitch height or produced an inhibition which was not antagonized by a combination of cyprodime ( 1000 nM ) plus norbinaltorphimine ( 3 nM ) were considered devoid

[^1]Table II. Agonist Potencies in the GPI and MVD ${ }^{a}$

| compd | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cf. } \mathrm{EKC}^{b}(\kappa) \\ & \text { in GPI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cf. } \mathrm{nM}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mu) \\ & \text { in GPI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cf. DADLE }{ }^{d}(\delta) \\ & \text { in MVD } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $0.0007^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{e}$ | $0.001{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 5 | $0.0008^{\text {a }}$ | 0.004 | $0.0004^{\text {a }}$ |
| 6 | TS ${ }^{\prime}$ | TS ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 7 | TS ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 8 | TS ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 9 | $0.0014^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{e}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 10 | $0.0004^{\text {a }}$ | NA ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 11 | $0.0015^{\text {a }}$ | TS ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |
| 12 | $0.001{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $1.0^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{NA}^{\text {e }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Agonist potency is expressed as the ratio of the $\mathrm{ED}_{40}$ concentrations (i.e. the concentration producing $40 \%$ inhibition of twitch height) of the relevant agonist and the test compound. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{EKC}=$ ethylketocyclazocine. ${ }^{\circ}$ NM $=$ normorphine. ${ }^{d}$ DADLE $=[\mathrm{D}-$ $\mathrm{Ala}^{2}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Leu}{ }^{5}$ ]enkephalin. ${ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{NA}=$ no agonist activity detected. ${ }^{f} \mathrm{TS}$ indicates a dose-response curve too shallow to estimate an $\mathrm{ED}_{40}$ (a $40 \%$ inhibition was not produced; for further explanations and a more detailed description of the procedures, see the Pharmacology section).
of any opioid agonist ( $\mu, \kappa$ ) activity. Compounds producing dose-response effects only shifted by one of the antagonists were designated $\mu$ or $\kappa$ agonists accordingly. None of the compounds possessed only $\mu$-agonist activity.
Compounds producing a dose-response effect that was shifted by cyprodime and further shifted by norbinaltorphimine (or vice versa) were designated as possessing both $\mu$ - and $\kappa$-agonist activity. An example of such a compound is 6 , which produced a shallow dose-response effect that was partially antagonized by norbinaltorphimine (leading to an even shallower curve) and totally antagonized by a combination of norbinaltorphimine and cyprodime. This is shown in Table II as possessing shallow dose-response curves at both $\mu$ and $\kappa$ receptors. In contrast, compound 7 produced a shallow dose-response curve which was antagonized by norbinatorphimine but not further affected by the addition of cyprodime, indicating some $\kappa$ but no detectable $\mu$-agonist activity (see Table II).

In the MVD, compounds which produced no inhibition of twitch height or produced an inhibition which was not antagonized by naltrindole ( 10 nM ) were considered devoid of any $\delta$ agonist activity.

The antagonist $K_{\mathrm{e}}$ values obtained are shown in Table I. Compounds 4 and 5 showed $\delta$ agonist effects in the MVD and consequently could not be tested for antagonist activity.
In the GPI only compounds $2,6 \mathrm{a}$, and 26 were pure antagonists. Compounds 7 and 8 produced very shallow $\kappa$ dose-response curves. Compounds 9 and 10 produced only $\kappa$ agonist effects, whereas compounds 6,11 , and 12 , produced both $\mu$ - and $\kappa$-agonist activity. In the case of compound 6 , the intrinsic activity was found to be very low (Table II).

In order to give some indication of the intrinsic activities of the partial agonists discussed in this paper, it should be noted that with the methodology described, the MVD will not normally detect any substantial agonism with buprenorphine or nalorphine. In the GPI, buprenorphine will produce marked agonist activity ( $40-80 \%$ depression) that is unaffected by norbinaltorphimine but blocked by cyprodime, whereas nalorphine produces a marked agonist effect ( $40-80 \%$ depression) that is unaffected by cyprodime but blocked by norbinaltorphimine. On the basis of these findings, compounds 7 and 8 are of lower intrinsic $\kappa$ activity than nalorphine, whereas 9 and 10 were of similar intrinsic к activity to nalorphine. Compound 6 had a lower $\mu$ intrinsic activity than buprenorphine and a lower $\kappa$ intrinsic activity than nalorphine. Compounds 11 and 12 both possessed a combined $\mu$ - and $\kappa$-agonist activity suf-
ficient to produce a maximum depression in the GPI but negligible effects in the MVD. Compounds 4 and 5, which were $\delta$ agonists in the MVD, both showed predominantly $\kappa$ agonist activity in the GPI with intrinsic activity similar to that of nalorphine.

## Discussion and Conclusion

From the biological results obtained with the present series of compounds, the following conclusions can be drawn concerning the effects of various changes to the cyprodime molecule.

Increasing the chain length at C-4 (compound 6a) resulted in higher affinity for $\mu$ receptors (ca. 2 -fold) but in very little change in either selectivity or intrinsic activity at any of the receptors. When increasing the size of the substituent at C-4 (compound 6), $\mu$ affinity decreased while $\mu$ selectivity was retained. In this case very low intrinsic activity at $\mu$ and $\kappa$ receptors was found. Decreasing the size of the substituent at C-4 (compound 4) or removing it completely (compound 5) resulted in an appreciable increase in intrinsic activity at $\kappa, \delta$, and $\mu$ receptors. Compounds 4 and 5 both possess appreciable (albeit low potency) $\delta$ agonist effects in the MVD and $\kappa(4$ and 5 ) and $\mu$ ( 5 only) agonist activity in the GPI. The agonist activity prevented the measurement of $K_{e}$ values for these compounds.

Bridging the 4 - and 5 -positions with oxygen (compound 26) resulted in a much lower $\mu / \kappa$ selectivity due to a 3 -fold decrease in the $\mu$ affinity and a corresponding increase in the $\kappa$ affinity. This compound possesses the lowest $\kappa / \mu$ ratio of all 12 compounds tested. As with cyprodime, this compound exhibits no significant agonist activity.

The introduction of a 3-OMe group (compound 7) resulted in a marked decrease in the $\mu / \delta$ selectivity due to small decrease in $\mu$ affinity and a large (ca. 10 -fold) increase in $\delta$ affinity. The same effect is seen in the compounds 2 and 8, and 10 and 12. There is also an increase in intrinsic activity at $\mu$ and $\kappa$ opioid receptors. Compound 7 showed appreciable $\kappa$ agonist activity in the GPI, whereas cyprodime was a pure antagonist. Furthermore, compounds 11 and 12 both showed $\mu$ and $\kappa$ agonist effects in the GPI, whereas compounds 9 and 10 possess no detectable $\mu$ agonist activity.

The replacement of the $N$-cyclopropylmethyl group with an $N$-allyl group (compound 2) resulted in a decrease of $\mu / \delta$ selectivity due to an approximately 4 -fold decrease in $\mu$ affinity. The pairs of compounds 7 and 8,11 and 12 , and 9 and 10 show similar losses in $\mu / \delta$ selectivity when the $N$-cyclopropylmethyl group is replaced by an $N$-allyl group.

Replacement of the 14-OMe group with a $14-\mathrm{OEt}$ group (compound 9) resulted in a slightly enhanced $\mu / \kappa$ selectivity due mainly to a ca. 2 -fold increase in $\mu$ affinity in the MVD. However, this compound possesses substantial $\kappa$ agonist activity in the GPI. A similar increase in $x$ agonist activity is seen with compounds $10-12$, which all possess a 14 -OEt substituent.

In conclusion, introduction of a 4-n-butoxy substituent in position 4 resulted in a compound ( $6 a$ ) with higher affinity for $\mu$ opioid receptors but very little change in selectivity and intrinsic activity in comparison to those of cyprodime. All the other changes which were accomplished to the cyprodime molecule afforded compounds with either less $\mu$-receptor affinity and less $\mu$ selectivity or increased agonist activity.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points were determined with a Kofler melting point microscope and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Beckman Accu Lab 2 apparatus. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were performed on a JEOL JNM-PMX 60 spectrometer or on
a Bruker AM 300 spectrometer ( 300 MHz ) and are reported in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane as internal reference. Electron-ionization and chemical-ionization mass spectra (EI-MS and CI-MS, respectively) were obtained from a Finnigan MAT 44S apparatus. Optical rotations (concentration ( $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), solvent) were determined with a Perkin-Elmer 141 polarimeter. Alumina basic ( $70-230$ mesh ASTM) from Merck was used for column chromatography. Preparative-layer chromatography (PLC) was performed with plates from Merck (Kieselgel 60 F, $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Elemental analyses were performed at the Analytical Department of Hoffmann-La Roche \& Co., Inc., Basle, Switzerland and at the Analytical Department of Reckitt \& Colman, King-ston-upon-Hull, England.
(-)-N-Allyl-4,14-dimethoxymorphinan-6-one (2). A mixture of 3 ( $526 \mathrm{mg}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol})$, allyl bromide ( $0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 6 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 30 min . After addition of 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extractions with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to give 380 mg of a crystalline residue, which was recrystallized from MeOH to yield 320 mg ( $54 \%$ ) of 2. A small portion of this material was recrystallized from MeOH for analysis: $\mathrm{mp} 143-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-113.7^{\circ}$ (c $1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( KBr ) $1705(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.15-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{arom}$ H ), $5.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1\right.$ olef H), 5.28 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2$ olef H ), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.25 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-14 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); EI-MS $m / z 341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{21^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-7,8-Didehydro-4,5 $\alpha$-epoxy-3-hydroxy-14-methoxy-N-methylmorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide ( $21 \cdot \mathbf{H B r}$ ). A solution of $20^{4}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ was refluxed for 17 min and then evaporated. The gray, crystalline residue was treated with little MeOH to yield $4.5 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of $21 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$. A portion of this material was recrystallized from MeOH for analysis: mp $>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}=-66.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.91, DMF); IR (KBr) 3420 and $3130\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 1670(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.30$ (s, br, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.82 (d, 1 olef H, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.58 (s, 2 arom H), $6.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ olef $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 3.21$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $2.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{HBr} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Br}$.
(-)-7,8-Didehydro-4,5 $\alpha$-epoxy-14-methoxy- $N$-methyl-3-[(1-phenyl-1H-tetrazol-5-yl)oxy]morphinan-6-one (22). A mixture of $21 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 43.4 mmol ), 5 -chloro-1-phenyl-1 H -tetrazole ( $3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 22.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 30 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 20 h . After addition of 300 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 80 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to give 9.2 g of a slightly brown, crystalline residue, which was recrystallized from EtOH to yield $8.53 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$ of $22: \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{187-189}$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}=-86.7^{\circ}\left(c 0.82, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (KBr) $3400(\mathrm{OH}), 1670$ ( CO ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.95-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ arom H ), 7.24 (d, 1 arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.81 (d, 1 olef $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.76 (d, 1 arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.35 (d, 1 olef $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.82 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-4,5 $\alpha$-Epoxy-14-methoxy- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methylmorphinan-6-one (23). Ten percent $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( 21.3 g ) was added to a solution of $22(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 60 mL of glacial AcOH. This mixture was hydrogenated at 50 psi and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The catalyst was filtered off; the filtrate was evaporated, alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$; and the combined organic layers were dried and evaporated to yield 1.93 g of a slightly yellow, crystalline residue, which was treated with MeOH to give $1.54 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of 23 : $\mathrm{mp} 170-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $\mathrm{lit}^{3} \mathrm{mp}$ $172-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). This material proved to be identical with an authentic sample by mixed melting point, IR, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
(-)-4,5 $\alpha$-Epoxy-14-methoxy- $\boldsymbol{N}$-[(2,2,2-trichloroethoxy)-carbonyl]morphinan-6-one (24). 2,2,2-Trichloroethyl chloroformate ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 284 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a refluxing mixture of $23(15 \mathrm{~g}, 50.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~g}, 200$ mmol ), and 110 mL of EtOH -free $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ during a period of 45 min . The resulting mixture was stirred under reflux for 8 h . The inorganic solid was filtered off and washed with EtOH -free $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and the filtrate was evaporated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature), first at 10 Torr, then at 1 Torr, to afford a semicrystalline solid, which was treated with boiling isopropyl ether to give 22.8 g of crystalline
material. Recrystallization from 40 mL of EtOH yielded 20.74 $\mathrm{g}(90 \%)$ of 24. A small portion was recrystallized from MeOH to give an analytical sample: mp $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.12-6.62\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, arom H), $4.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 3.30\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{CI}-\mathrm{MS}\left({ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{4}\right) m / z 477\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+18\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-4,5 $\alpha$-Epoxy-14-methoxymorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide ( $25 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ). Activated Zn powder ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 153 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a cooled $\left(-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $24(20 \mathrm{~h}, 43.4$ mmol) in 200 mL of $80 \%$ AcOH within 5 min with stirring. After 30 min , more Zn powder ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at -10 to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After filtration, the filtrate was diluted with 300 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2 $\times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), rendered alkaline with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried and evaporated to give $8.2 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%)$ of 25 as colorless, crystalline solid (mp $182-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). For analysis the HBr salt $(25 \cdot \mathrm{HBr})$ was formed in the usual way: $\mathrm{mp} 294-298^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$ (acetone); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 8.73$ (s, br, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.22-6.62$ (m, 3 H , arom H), $4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; CI-MS $m / z 286\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Br}$.
(-)-N-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-4,5 $\alpha$-epoxy-14-methoxy-morphinan-6-one (26). A mixture of $25 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, cyclopropylmethyl chloride $(0.41 \mathrm{~mL}$, 4.43 mmol ), and 15 mL anhydrous DMF was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 6 h . The inorganic solid was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated. The oily residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the organic layer was dried and evaporated to afford 1.05 g of a slightly brown oil, which was crystallized from 2-propanol to yield 825 mg ( $77 \%$ ) of 26 . An analytical sample was recrystallized from 2-propanol: $\mathrm{mp} 140-142$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-282.9^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.84, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.16-6.57$ (m, 3 H , arom H), $4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 3.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; EI-MS $m / z 359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.2$ 2-propanol) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N.(Cyclopropylmethyl)-4-hydroxy-14-methoxy-morphinan-6-one (4). Activated Zn powder ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 48.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a refluxing mixture of $26(1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 4.63 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 40 mL of MeOH within 5 min . This mixture was stirred and refluxed for another 30 min , cooled, and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. Alkalization with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ was followed by extraction with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated to give 1.56 g of a slightly brown foam, which was crystallized from MeOH to yield 1.12 g ( $71 \%$ ) of 4. A small portion was recrystallized from MeOH to afford an analytical sample: mp $188-191^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-164.9^{\circ}$ (c $0.73, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.03-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ arom H$), 3.35$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH} 3$ ); EI-MS $m / z 341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right.$. $0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-4,14-dimethoxymorphinan-6one Hydrobromide (Cyprodime Hydrobromide; $1 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ). A mixture of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 15 mL of anhydrous DMF was gassed at room temperature with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 30 min . Then $4(285 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenyltrimethylammonium chloride ( $440 \mathrm{mg}, 2.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 4.5 h . The inorganic material was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated. The oily residue was dissolved in diluted AcOH and the pH was adjusted to 6-6.5 with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. The residue was then washed with cyclohexane $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, rendered alkaline with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10$ mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to yield 220 mg of a slightly brown, crystalline solid, which was recrystallized from MeOH to afford $185 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%)$ of 1 as colorless crystals ( $\mathrm{mp} 155-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The base was converted into the HBr salt $(1 \cdot \mathrm{HBr})$ in the usual way. It was identical by mixed melting point, TLC, IR, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR with authentic material. ${ }^{1}$
(-)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-14-methoxy-4-[(1-phenyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$ -tetrazol-5-yl)oxy]morphinan-6-one (27). A mixture of 4 (500 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 5 -chloro-1-phenyl-1H-tetrazole ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(700 \mathrm{mg}, 5.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 5 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature for 24 h . After addition of 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extraction with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to yield 680 mg of a crystalline residue, which was treated with boiling MeOH to give 590 mg
( $83 \%$ ) of pure 27: $\operatorname{mp~} 188-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.15-6.90$ (m, 8 arom H) 3.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH} 3$ ); EI-MS $m / z 485\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-14-methoxymorphinan-6-one Salicylate (5.Salicylic Acid). A mixture of 27 ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68$ mmol ), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( 300 mg ), and 50 mL of glacial AcOH was hydrogenated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 40 psi for 21 h . The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to give 205 mg of a yellow oil, which was chromatographed on alumina basic grade III (length of the column 23 cm , diameter 1.3 cm , elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield 155 $\mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of pure 5 as a colorless oil. The salicylate ( $5 \cdot$ salicylic acid) ( 205 mg ) was prepared in the usual way: $\mathrm{mp} 151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-83.8^{\circ}$ (c $1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 3400 $\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 1710(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$, ${ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.94-6.57$ (m, 8 arom H), $3.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; EI-MS $m / z$ $325\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-4-(isopropyloxy)-14-meth-oxymorphinan-6-one (6). NaH ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$; obtained from 160 mg of a $55 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ dispersion in oil by washings with petroleum ether) was added to a solution of $4(420 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2 mL of anhydrous DMF under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) with stirring. After 10 min , isopropyl bromide ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 3.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 70 h . Excess NaH was destroyed carefully by addition of small pieces of ice, and the mixture was poured on 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to yield 320 mg of a slightly yellow foam, which was crystallized from MeOH to give 60 mg of 6 . The mother liquor was evaporated and chromatographed on alumina basic grade II (length of the column 15 cm , diameter 2.5 cm , elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to afford 136 mg of a colorless foam which was crystallized from MeOH to yield another 92 mg of 6: total yield $152 \mathrm{mg}(32 \%)$; $\operatorname{mp} 98-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-84.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.77, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1700$ $(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.03$ ( $\mathrm{t}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.58 (sept., $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.37 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 1.46 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ); CI-MS $m / z$ $384\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-4-n-Butoxy-14-methoxy- $N$-methylmorphinan-6-one (14). NaH ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol}$; from 302 mg of a $55 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ dispersion in oil, obtained by washings with petroleum ether) was added to a solution of $13(700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of anhydrous DMF under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) with stirring. After $15 \mathrm{~min}, n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{I}(550 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 3 h . Excess NaH was destroyed carefully by addition of small pieces of ice, the reaction mixture was poured on 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to give 735 mg of a slightly brown oil, which was crystallized from MeOH to yield $380 \mathrm{mg}(54 \%)$ of $14\left(\mathrm{mp} 123-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). A portion was recrystallized from MeOH for analysis: $\operatorname{mp} 126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}$ $=-32.6^{\circ}\left(c 0.61, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.05(\mathrm{t}$, 1 arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.67 (d, 2 arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.01\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-4-n-Butoxy-N-(cyclopropylmethyl)-14-methoxy-morphinan-6-one ( 6 a ). A mixture of 14 ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $755 \mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1 -chloroethyl chloroformate ( 1.3 $\mathrm{g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 10 mL of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ was stirred at $60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 2 h . The inorganic solid was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue (15) was refluxed for 30 min in 10 mL of MeOH . After evaporation, the oily residue was alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried, and evaporated to give 255 mg of 16 as slightly pink, crystalline residue (mp $112-116^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; CI-MS $m / z 344\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$ ), which was not further purified. Anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2$ mmol ) and cyclopropylmethyl chloride ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of this material in 10 mL of anhydrous DMF. This mixture was stirred at $80-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h and then cooled, 20 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) , dried, and evaporated to give 280 mg of a slightly red oil, which was crystallized from MeOH to yield 167 mg ( $56 \%$ ) of $6\left(\mathrm{mp} 107-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. For analysis a portion of this material was recrystallized from MeOH : $\operatorname{mp} 110-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-74.9^{\circ}(c$ $0.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 1700 (CO) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.04(\mathrm{t}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ arom H), 3.42 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; \mathrm{CI}-\mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z 398\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ +1 ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-3,4,14-Trimethoxymorphinan-6-one Oxalate (19.Oxalic Acid). 2,2,2-Trichloroethyl chloroformate ( $24 \mathrm{~mL}, 172 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a refluxing mixture of $17(7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 21.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}(18.3 \mathrm{~g}, 183 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 200 mL of EtOH-free $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ during a period of 15 min . This mixture was stirred under reflux for an additional 1.5 h and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature), first at 10 Torr, then at 1 Torr. The resulting residue [ 10.6 g of 18 as a glassy solid: IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1775$ (carbamate), $1705(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2\right.$ arom H), $4.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.31\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-14 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ] was used for the next step without further purification. Activated Zn powder ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 306 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a refluxing mixture of $18(10.5 \mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{~g}, 369 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 200 mL of MeOH within 5 min . This mixture was stirred under reflux for an additional 30 min , cooled, and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The oily residue was partitioned between 400 mL of 1 N NaOH and 100 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; the organic layer washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to yield 5.9 g of a slightly brown oil, which was converted into the oxalate (19-oxalic acid; 4.3 g , $52 \%$ ) in the usual way. An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization of a portion of this material from acetone: mp $138-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-110.3^{\circ}(c 0.76,95 \% \mathrm{EtOH})$; IR (KBr) 3420 $\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1710(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $6.62(\mathrm{~d} \times \mathrm{d}, 2$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-14 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{EI}-\mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / z$ $331\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-3,4,14-trimethoxymorphinan-6-one Salicylate (7.Salicylic Acid). A mixture of $19 \cdot$ oxalic acid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~g}, 28.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cyclopropylmethyl chloride ( $0.66 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 15 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 20 h . After addition of 150 mL of ice/water, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 35 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ phases were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to give 1.75 g of a brown oil. This oil was chromatographed on alumina basic grade II (length of the column 12.5 cm , diameter 3.5 cm , elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield 1.56 g of a colorless oil, which was converted into the salicylate ( 7 -salicylic acid; $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%$ ) in the usual way. An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \operatorname{mp} 158-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-63.4^{\circ}$ (c 0.93, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $3420\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 1710(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 12.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 7.97-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ arom H$), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-14 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$; EI-MS m/z $385\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Allyl-3,4,14-trimethoxymorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide ( $8 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ). A mixture of $19 \cdot$ oxalic acid ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 3.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, allyl bromide ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 30 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 30 min . The inorganic material was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated, the oily residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the organic layer was dried and evaporated to yield 1.05 g of 8 as a colorless oil, which was converted into the HBr salt $(8 \cdot \mathrm{HBr} ; 1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) in the usual way. A portion of this material was recrystallized from acetone to give an analytical sample: $\operatorname{mp} 233-236^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-31.0^{\circ}$ (c $1.38,95 \%$ EtOH); IR (KBr) 3600 and $3400\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 1710(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 6.75$ (s, 2 arom H ), 6.12 (m, 1 olef H), $5.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2\right.$ olef H), $3.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-14 \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); EI-MS $m / z 371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{HBr} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-7,8-Didehydro-4,5 $\alpha$-epoxy-14-ethoxy-3-hydroxy- $N$ -methylmorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide ( $29 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ). A solution of $28^{4}(31.8 \mathrm{~g}, 93.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 150 mL of $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ was refluxed for 20 min . After evaporation, a gray foam was obtained, which was crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $20.7 \mathrm{~g}(54 \%)$ of $10 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$. For analysis a small portion was recrystallized from MeOH: mp $233-237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{dec} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-46.5^{\circ}(c 1.04, \mathrm{MeOH})$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3420$
and $3200\left(\mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 1680(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 9.60$ and $9.20\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right) ; 6.97$ (d, 1 olef $\left.\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.73$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2$ arom H), $6.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ olef $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.21\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-7,8-Didehydro-4,5 $\alpha$-epoxy-14-ethoxy- $N$-methyl-3-[(1-phenyl-1 $\boldsymbol{H}$-tetrazol-5-yl)oxy]morphinan-6-one (30). A mixture of $29 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}(20 \mathrm{~g}, 49.0 \mathrm{mmol}), 5$-chloro-1-phenyl- 1 H -tetrazole ( $9.52 \mathrm{~g}, 52.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(14.05 \mathrm{~g}, 101.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 95 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at room temperature for 38 h . The inorganic solid was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated, and the oily residue was dissolved in 200 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to give 25.5 g of a brown oil, which was crystallized from EtOH to yield $20.25 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ of $30\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{191-198}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. A portion of this material was recrystallized twice from MeCN for analysis: mp 203-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-78.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.92, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR (KBr) $1680(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5$ arom H), 7.08 (d, 1 arom $\mathrm{H}, J$ $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ olef $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8$ Hz ), 6.13 (d, 1 olef $\mathrm{H}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.72 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.41 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ C, H, N.
(-)-4,5 $\alpha$-Epoxy-14-ethoxy- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methylmorphinan-6-one (31). Ten grams of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst was added to a solution of 30 ( $20.2 \mathrm{~g}, 42.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 200 mL of glacial AcOH . This mixture was hydrogenated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 50 psi for 16 h . The catalyst was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(2 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~L})$ and brine, dried, and evaporated to give 15.44 g of a red-brown oil, which was crystallized from 13 mL of MeOH to yield $8.9 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%)$ of $31\left(\mathrm{mp} 160-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization from $\mathrm{MeOH}: \operatorname{mp} 170-171^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-254.6^{\circ}$ ( c 1.07, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $1715(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.02-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3$ arom H), $4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{19}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-14-Ethoxy-4-hydroxy- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methylmorphinan-6-one (32). Activated Zn powder $(17.6 \mathrm{~g}, 269 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a refluxing mixture of $31(8.8 \mathrm{~g}, 28.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(17.6 \mathrm{~g}, 329 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 150 mL of MeOH within 5 min . After stirring and refluxing for an additional 30 min , the mixture was filtered, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)(2 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried and evaporated to yield 4.0 g of a slightly pink, crystalline solid, which was recrystallized from 10 mL of MeOH to give 2.77 g ( $31 \%$ ) of 32. For analysis a small portion was recrystallized from MeOH: $\mathrm{mp} 228-230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-66.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( KBr ) $3200(\mathrm{OH}), 1700(\mathrm{CO})$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.20$ (s, br, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$ ), 7.00-6.50 (m, 3 arom H), $2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-14-Ethoxy-4-methoxy- $N$-methylmorphinan-6-one (33). A mixture of $32(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 28.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenyltrimethylammonium chloride $(3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 50 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 4 h . The inorganic material was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated, and the oily residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to give 2.7 g of a slightly brown, crystalline residue, which was treated with MeOH to yield $2.26 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of slightly yellow 33. An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization of a small sample from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1): \mathrm{mp} 128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-68.6^{\circ}\left(c 0.84, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( KBr ) $\left.1705(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ \delta 7.08-6.60$ (m, 3 arom $\mathrm{H}), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-14-Ethoxy-4-methoxymorphinan-6-one Hydrochloride $(35 \cdot \mathrm{HCl})$. A mixture of $33(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.56 \mathrm{~g}$, 30.5 mmol ), 1-chloroethyl chloroformate ( $3.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 25 mL of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ was stirred at $60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 5.5 h . After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated to give 2.4 g of 34 as crystalline solid, which was not further purified and characterized. This solid was dissolved in 30 mL of MeOH , the solution was refluxed for 30 min and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue ( 2.25 g of a slightly brown foam) was crystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ to yield 1.96 g ( $92 \%$ )
of $35 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$. For analysis a small portion was recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{mp}>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-32.7^{\circ}(c \mathrm{c} 1.16, \mathrm{MeOH})$; IR ( KBr ) $3280\left({ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 1710(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $9.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.33-6.62$ (m, 3 arom H), $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.37\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}\right.$. $\mathrm{MeOH}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-14-ethoxy-4-methoxy-morphinan-6-one (9). A mixture of $35 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(900 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cyclopropylmethyl chloride ( $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 7 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 21 h . After addition of 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$; the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to give 890 mg of a slightly brown oil, which was crystallized from MeOH to yield 530 mg ( $56 \%$ ) of 9 (mp $108-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A portion of this material was further purified with PLC (mobile phase: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} 90 / 9 / 1$; elution with $\mathrm{MeOH})$ to give an analytical sample: mp $111-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-109.5^{\circ}$ ( c $0.99, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) 1705 (CO) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.20-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \operatorname{arom~H}), 3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.28(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N-Allyl-14-ethoxy-4-methoxymorphinan-6-one (10). A mixture of $35 \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(700$ $\mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), allyl bromide ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 5 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After addition of 50 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ); the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried, and evaporated. The residue ( 630 mg of a colorless, crystalline solid) was recrystallized from MeOH to yield $500 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$ of $10: \mathrm{mp} 93-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-101.4^{\circ}$ (c 1.04 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) IR ( KBr ) $1700(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.07-6.55$ (m, 3 arom H), $5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ olef H), 5.18 (m, 2 olef H), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right.$. $0.8 \mathrm{MeOH}) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-14-Ethoxy-4-hydroxy-3-methoxy-N-methyl-morphinan-6-one (37). Activated Zn powder ( $13 \mathrm{~g}, 199 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in small portions to a refluxing mixture of $36(6.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $18.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(13 \mathrm{~g}, 243 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 100 mL of MeOH within 5 min with stirring. After stirring and refluxing for an additional 30 min , the solid was filtered off, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried and evaporated to give 5.93 g of a colorless oil, which was crystallized from MeOH to yield $3.06 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$ of 37 . An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization of a small portion: mp $210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-77.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ $3480(\mathrm{OH}), 1700(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ arom $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 0 \mathrm{OH}_{3}\right)$, $2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.27\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{20^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-3,4-Dimethoxy-14-ethoxy-N-methylmorphinan-6-one (38). A mixture of 37 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~g}$, 28.9 mmol ), phenyltrimethylammonium chloride ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 18.4$ mmol ), and 30 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 8 h . After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated, and the oily residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to yield 3.05 g of a brownish, semicrystalline residue, which was crystallized from MeOH to give $2.31 \mathrm{~g}(71 \%)$ of 38. Recrystallization of a portion of this material from MeOH yielded an analytical sample: mp $112-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-75.7^{\circ}\left(c 0.86, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 3480(\mathrm{OH})$, $1700(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.67$ (s, 2 arom H), $3.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.76 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $2.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.25 (t, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-3,4-Dimethoxy-14-ethoxymorphinan-6-one (40). A mixture of $38(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 26.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-chloroethyl chloroformate ( $2.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 20 mL of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ was stirred at $60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 6 h . After filtration, the filtrate was evaporated to give 2.04 g
of 39 as colorless oil, which was not further purified and characterized. This oil was dissolved in 30 mL MeOH , the solution was refluxed for 30 min and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated. The reddish oily residue ( 1.78 g ) was alkalized with concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$; the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to give 1.52 g of an oil, which was crystallized from MeOH to yield $1.17 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4 0}$. An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization of a small sample from MeOH: mp $133-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-50.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.96, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $3440(\mathrm{NH})$, $1700(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ arom H$), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)- $\boldsymbol{N}$-(Cyclopropylmethyl)-3,4-dimethoxy-14-ethoxy-morphinan-6-one (11). A mixture of $40(860 \mathrm{mg}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(860 \mathrm{mg}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, cyclopropylmethyl chloride $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 8 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature) for 20 h . After addition of 100 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to yield 860 mg of a brown oil, which was crystallized from MeOH to give 515 mg ( $53 \%$ ) of 11 . Recrystallization from MeOH gave an analytical sample: mp 128-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-110.6^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.94, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1700(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2$ arom. H$), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.78$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; CI-MS $m / z 400\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ +1 ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-N-Allyl-3,4-dimethoxy-14-ethoxymorphinan-6-one Hydrobromide ( $12 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ). A mixture of $40(550 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(550 \mathrm{mg}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), allyl bromide ( 0.14 mL , 1.63 mmol ), and 6 mL of anhydrous DMF was stirred at room temperature for 22 h . After addition of 30 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried, and evaporated to give 565 mg of a colorless oil, which was converted into the HBr salt ( $12 \cdot \mathrm{HBr}$ ) in the usual way (crystallized from acetone; 477 mg , $65 \%$ ). An analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization from MeOH: mp 234-238 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}=-65.5^{\circ}\left(c 0.99, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( KBr ) $3440\left({ }^{+} \mathrm{NH}\right), 1700(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.78$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2$ arom H ), 6.07 (m, 1 olef H ), $5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ olef H ), 3.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{0} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.77 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $1.51\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{HBr} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{MeOH}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Pharmacology. For materials and methods, see ref 1.
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